

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 ROBERT KENNY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13
14 PACIFIC INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT
15 COMPANY LLC, a Delaware limited liability
16 company; PIMCO INVESTMENTS LLC,

17 Defendants.

Case No. C14-1987 RSM

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR OVER-LENGTH BRIEF

18 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Pacific Investment Management
19 Company LLC and PIMCO Investments LLC (“Defendants”)’s Motion to file over-length
20 briefing on their upcoming motion for summary judgment. Dkt. #147. Defendants’ Motion is
21 five sentences long and requests an increase from 24 to 40 pages of briefing. *Id.*

22 Defendants cite Local Rule 7(f) for authority. That rule begins, “[m]otions seeking
23 approval to file an over-length motion or brief **are disfavored** but may be filed subject to the
24 following [procedural requirements].” LCR 7(f) (emphasis added). Although Defendants have
25 met the stated procedural requirements, they have provided zero argument or evidence to
26 support their request. Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion, however the parties cannot simply
27
28

1 stipulate to filing over-length briefing without explaining to the Court why it is necessary. The
2 Court is aware of the nature of this case, and believes the parties can present their arguments
3 and evidence within 24 pages.

4 Having reviewed Defendants' Motion and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby
5 finds and ORDERS that Defendants' Motion for Over-length Brief (Dkt. #147) is DENIED.
6

7
8 DATED this 13th day of March 2018.

9
10 

11 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
12 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28